



Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Jun 3, 2023 – 06:18 PM EDT

PDB ID : 2MV3
BMRB ID : 25241
Title : The N-domain of the AAA metalloproteinase Yme1 from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
Authors : Scharfenberg, F.; Serek-Heuberger, J.; Martin, J.; Lupas, A.N.; Coles, M.
Deposited on : 2014-09-22

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI : v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33


1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 88%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for ≥ 3 , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	88	

2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 23 models. Model 7 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *minimized average structure*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:103-A:165 (63)	0.11	7

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23
2	1, 3, 12, 16
3	5, 20
Single-model clusters	15; 21

3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1375 atoms, of which 670 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	88	1375	436	670	130	134	5	0

There are 8 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:


Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	96	MET	-	initiating methionine	UNP P32795
A	177	GLU	-	expression tag	UNP P32795
A	178	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P32795
A	179	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P32795
A	180	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P32795
A	181	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P32795
A	182	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P32795
A	183	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP P32795

4 Residue-property plots

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1



4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1



4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1



4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1



4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1



4.2.21 Score per residue for model 21

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1




4.2.22 Score per residue for model 22

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1



4.2.23 Score per residue for model 23

- Molecule 1: Mitochondrial inner membrane i-AAA protease supercomplex subunit YME1



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing, NOESY back-calculation*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 23 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the least restraint violations*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	structure solution	2.9.4
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	2.9.4
NMR-SPIRIT	refinement	1.1

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	886
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	886
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	88%

6 Model quality

6.1 Standard geometry

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	501	492	492	1±1
All	All	11523	11316	11316	33

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 1.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:156:TYR:CE2	1:A:160:LEU:HD11	0.46	2.45	20	15
1:A:129:LEU:HD12	1:A:155:LEU:HD22	0.43	1.91	20	2
1:A:107:ARG:HD2	1:A:127:LEU:HD11	0.42	1.90	5	1
1:A:125:TYR:CB	1:A:155:LEU:HD13	0.42	2.44	23	13
1:A:156:TYR:CZ	1:A:160:LEU:HD11	0.42	2.50	23	1
1:A:104:LEU:HD11	1:A:131:SER:OG	0.40	2.16	5	1

6.3 Torsion angles

6.3.1 Protein backbone

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR

entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	63/88 (72%)	62±0 (98±0%)	1±0 (2±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	1449/2024 (72%)	1426 (98%)	23 (2%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	54/75 (72%)	54±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	1242/1725 (72%)	1242 (100%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no protein residues with a non-rotameric sidechain to report.

6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation i

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 88% for the well-defined parts and 74% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping i

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	886
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	886
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing i

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction \pm precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	79	-0.16 ± 0.18	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	77	0.42 ± 0.16	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	52	-0.11 ± 0.31	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
^{15}N	52	0.49 ± 0.44	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments i

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 88%, i.e. 759 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 862. 0 out of 9 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^1H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	275/309 (89%)	114/124 (92%)	112/126 (89%)	49/59 (83%)
Sidechain	432/497 (87%)	295/323 (91%)	134/153 (88%)	3/21 (14%)

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Aromatic	52/56 (93%)	26/26 (100%)	26/30 (87%)	0/0 (—%)
Overall	759/862 (88%)	435/473 (92%)	272/309 (88%)	52/80 (65%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 74%, i.e. 885 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1195. 0 out of 13 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Backbone	318/434 (73%)	135/174 (78%)	131/176 (74%)	52/84 (62%)
Sidechain	513/649 (79%)	349/423 (83%)	161/200 (80%)	3/26 (12%)
Aromatic	54/112 (48%)	27/58 (47%)	26/46 (57%)	1/8 (12%)
Overall	885/1195 (74%)	511/655 (78%)	318/422 (75%)	56/118 (47%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	143	THR	HG1	5.32	0.08 – 2.19	19.8
1	A	108	GLU	HA	2.17	2.24 – 6.23	-5.2

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



8 NMR restraints analysis

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	523
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	53
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	109
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	125
Long range ($ i-j \geq 5$)	184
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	52
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	246
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	8.7
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	2.1

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	None	None
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	None	None
>0.5 (Large)	1.7	4.06

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations

9 Distance violation analysis [i](#)


9.1 Summary of distance violations [i](#)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restrains type	Count	% ¹	Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
			Count	% ²	% ¹	Count	% ²	% ¹
Intra-residue ($i-j =0$)	53	10.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	48	9.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	5	1.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ($i-j =1$)	109	20.8	1	0.9	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	90	17.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	16	3.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	3	0.6	1	33.3	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ($i-j >1$ & $i-j <5$)	125	23.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	59	11.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	51	9.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	15	2.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ($i-j \geq 5$)	184	35.2	12	6.5	2.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	3	0.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	75	14.3	3	4.0	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	106	20.3	9	8.5	1.7	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	52	9.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	523	100.0	13	2.5	2.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	250	47.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	149	28.5	3	2.0	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	124	23.7	10	8.1	1.9	0	0.0	0.0

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfid bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD ⁶ (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total				
1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.16	2.16	0.0	2.16
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.16	2.16	0.0	2.16
4	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.22	2.22	0.0	2.22
5	0	1	0	12	0	13	2.43	4.0	1.03	2.28
6	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.07	2.07	0.0	2.07
7	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.09	2.09	0.0	2.09
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.22	2.22	0.0	2.22
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0


Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD ⁶ (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total				
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.14	2.14	0.0	2.14
18	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.01	2.01	0.0	2.01
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.15	2.15	0.0	2.15
21	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.13	2.13	0.0	2.13
22	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3
23	0	1	0	12	0	13	2.46	4.06	1.02	2.1

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints, ⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model [i](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 458(IR:53, SQ:108, MR:125, LR:172, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total	Count ⁶	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4.3
0	1	0	11	0	12	2	8.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	13.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	17.4
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	21.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	26.1
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	30.4
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	34.8
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	39.1
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	43.5
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	47.8
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	52.2
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	56.5
0	0	0	1	0	1	14	60.9
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	65.2
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	69.6
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	73.9
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	78.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	82.6
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	87.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	21	91.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	22	95.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	23	100.0

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints,

⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶ Number of models with violations


9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)



9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models ¹	Mean (Å)	SD ¹ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	14	2.17	0.08	2.16
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	14	2.17	0.08	2.16
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	14	2.17	0.08	2.16
(1,404)	1:A:152:CYS:HB3	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	2	4.01	0.05	4.01
(1,399)	1:A:149:SER:H	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	2	4.0	0.0	4.0
(1,405)	1:A:152:CYS:HB2	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	2	3.94	0.01	3.94
(1,374)	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	1:A:153:MET:H	2	3.3	0.05	3.3
(1,376)	1:A:141:PHE:HE2	1:A:156:TYR:HE2	2	2.89	0.06	2.89
(1,319)	1:A:125:TYR:HE1	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	2	2.37	0.03	2.37
(1,372)	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	1:A:153:MET:HA	2	1.81	0.06	1.81
(1,394)	1:A:148:SER:HB2	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	2	1.72	0.0	1.72
(1,118)	1:A:142:GLU:HG2	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	2	1.54	0.03	1.54
(1,118)	1:A:142:GLU:HG3	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	2	1.54	0.03	1.54
(1,393)	1:A:148:SER:HB3	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	2	1.52	0.01	1.52
(1,371)	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	1:A:156:TYR:HB2	2	1.32	0.05	1.32
(1,375)	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	1:A:156:TYR:HB2	2	1.18	0.07	1.18

¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints [i](#)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations [i](#)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,404)	1:A:152:CYS:HB3	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	23	4.06
(1,399)	1:A:149:SER:H	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	5	4.0
(1,399)	1:A:149:SER:H	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	23	3.99
(1,405)	1:A:152:CYS:HB2	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	23	3.96
(1,404)	1:A:152:CYS:HB3	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	5	3.96
(1,405)	1:A:152:CYS:HB2	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	5	3.93
(1,374)	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	1:A:153:MET:H	23	3.36

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,374)	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	1:A:153:MET:H	5	3.25
(1,376)	1:A:141:PHE:HE2	1:A:156:TYR:HE2	5	2.95
(1,376)	1:A:141:PHE:HE2	1:A:156:TYR:HE2	23	2.83
(1,319)	1:A:125:TYR:HE1	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	23	2.4
(1,319)	1:A:125:TYR:HE1	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	5	2.33
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	15	2.3
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	15	2.3
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	15	2.3
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	22	2.3
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	22	2.3
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	22	2.3
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	5	2.28
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	5	2.28
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	5	2.28
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	4	2.22
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	4	2.22
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	4	2.22
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	10	2.22
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	10	2.22
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	10	2.22
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	1	2.16
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	1	2.16
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	1	2.16
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	3	2.16
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	3	2.16
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	3	2.16
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	20	2.15
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	20	2.15
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	20	2.15
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	17	2.14
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	17	2.14
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	17	2.14
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	21	2.13
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	21	2.13
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	21	2.13
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	23	2.1
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	23	2.1
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	23	2.1
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	7	2.09
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	7	2.09
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	7	2.09
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	6	2.07

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	6	2.07
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	6	2.07
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD11	18	2.01
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD12	18	2.01
(1,346)	1:A:133:TYR:HE1	1:A:104:LEU:HD13	18	2.01
(1,372)	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	1:A:153:MET:HA	23	1.87
(1,372)	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	1:A:153:MET:HA	5	1.75
(1,394)	1:A:148:SER:HB2	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	5	1.72
(1,394)	1:A:148:SER:HB2	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	23	1.72
(1,118)	1:A:142:GLU:HG2	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	23	1.57
(1,118)	1:A:142:GLU:HG3	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	23	1.57
(1,393)	1:A:148:SER:HB3	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	5	1.53
(1,393)	1:A:148:SER:HB3	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	23	1.52
(1,118)	1:A:142:GLU:HG2	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	5	1.52
(1,118)	1:A:142:GLU:HG3	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	5	1.52
(1,371)	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	1:A:156:TYR:HB2	23	1.37
(1,371)	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	1:A:156:TYR:HB2	5	1.27
(1,375)	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	1:A:156:TYR:HB2	23	1.25
(1,375)	1:A:141:PHE:HE1	1:A:156:TYR:HB2	5	1.11

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis [i](#)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations [i](#)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	% ¹	Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
			Count	% ²	% ¹	Count	% ²	% ¹
PSI	86	35.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI3	4	1.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI1	47	19.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
PHI	85	34.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI2	24	9.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	246	100.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

¹ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model [i](#)

No violations found

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)

No violations found

10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

No violations found

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

No violations found