Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Feb 27, 2022 – 10:07 PM EST

PDB ID	:	2E5Q
Title	:	Solution structure of the TUDOR domain of PHD finger protein 19, isoform b [Homo sapiens]
Authors	:	Dang, W.; Muto, Y.; Inoue, M.; Kigawa, T.; Shirouzu, M.; Terada, T.; Yokoyama, S.; RIKEN Structural Genomics/Proteomics Initiative (RSGI)
Deposited on	:	2006-12-22

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker	:	2.27
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.27

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment was not calculated.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	63	63% 14% • 19%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 15 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	**Residue range (total)**	**Backbone RMSD (Å)**	**Medoid model**
1	A:8-A:58 (51)	0.14	15

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 4 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	3, 5, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20
2	1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 18
Single-model clusters	6; 7; 11; 17

3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 972 atoms, of which 478 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called PHD finger protein 19.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	63	972	314	478	83	95	2	0

There are 7 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	GLY	-	cloning artifact	UNP Q8TBL6
A	2	SER	-	cloning artifact	UNP Q8TBL6
A	3	SER	-	cloning artifact	UNP Q8TBL6
A	4	GLY	-	cloning artifact	UNP Q8TBL6
A	5	SER	-	cloning artifact	UNP Q8TBL6
A	6	SER	-	cloning artifact	UNP Q8TBL6
A	7	GLY	-	cloning artifact	UNP Q8TBL6

4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 63% 14% • 19%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 L8 Q12 L15 Y23 Y24 L25 I28 K35 Q36 L39 W49 W52 G59 V60 P61 G62 E63

4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 49% 29% • 19%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 L8 T9 E10 G11 Q12 L15 W18 T19 D20 Y23 Y24 I28 V31 S32 S33 S34 K35 Q36 S37 C38 L39 K47 W52 Q56 G59 V60 P61 G62 E63

4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 44% 32% 5% 19%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 L8 G11 Q12 Y13 V14 L15 C16 D20 G21 L22 Y23 Y24 I28 K35 Q36 L39 D44 N45 S46 W49 V50 L51 W52 K53 D54 H57 A58 G59 V60 P61 G62 E63

4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A:



4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A:



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A:



4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A:



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A:



4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 51% 25% 5% 19%



4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 52% 24% 5% 19%



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 43% 38% 19%



4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 52% 24% 5% 19%



4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 51% 27% • 19%



4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 56% 24% • 19%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 L8 Q12 L15 W18 T19 Y23 I28 K35 Q36 L39 W49 W50 L51 W52 K53 D54 I55 Q56 G59 V60 P61 G62 E63

4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 57% 19% 5% 19%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 L8 Q12 Y13 V14 L15 Y23 Y24 I28 S32 K35 Q36 L39 N45 W49 W52 I55 G59 V60 P61 G62 E63

4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 52% 25% • 19%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 L8 G11 Q12 Y13 V14 L15 Y23 Y24 L25 I28 K29 K35 Q36 L39 F42 E43 D44 K47 Y48 W49 W52 G59 V60 P61 G62 E63

4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 59% 21% • 19%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 L8 Q12 Y13 L25 G26 K27 I28 K29 R30 V31 S32 S33 S34 K35 Q36 S37 C38 W52 G59 V60 P61 G62 E63

4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 49% 27% 5% 19%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 L8 G11 Q12 Y13 V14 L15 Y24 L25 I28 K35 Q36 L39 K47 L51 W52 K53 D54 I55 Q56 H57 A58 G59 V60 P61 G62 E63

4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 49% 29% • 19%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 L8 Q12 Y13 Y14 L15 C16 R17 W18 T19 D20 G21 L22 Y23 Y24 L25 I28 V31 K35 Q36 S37 C38 D44 V50 L51 W52 I55 G59 V60 P61 G62 E63

4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 56% 25% 19%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 L8 Q12 Y13 Y14 L15 C16 R17 Y23 Y24 L25 G26 K27 I28 K29 R30 V31 K35 Q36 S37 C38 W52 G59 V60 P61 G62 E63

4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: PHD finger protein 19

Chain A: 60% 17% • 19%

G1 S2 S3 G4 S5 S6 G7 L8 G11 Q12 L15 Y23 I28 S32 K35 Q36 L39 W49 W52 I55 G59 V60 P61 G62 E63

5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *torsion angle dynamics*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the least restraint violations, structures with the lowest energy, target function*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	2.0.17
CYANA	refinement	2.0.17

No chemical shift data was provided.

6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	427	421	421	12±2
All	All	8540	8420	8420	231

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 14.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:52:TRP:HA	1:A:55:ILE:HD12	0.79	1.54	8	9
1:A:8:LEU:HD22	1:A:28:ILE:CD1	0.77	2.09	19	20
1:A:16:CYS:SG	1:A:50:VAL:HG21	0.76	2.19	2	3
1:A:8:LEU:HD22	1:A:28:ILE:HD11	0.75	1.57	17	19
1:A:15:LEU:HD22	1:A:23:TYR:HB3	0.69	1.64	15	11
1:A:13:TYR:HB3	1:A:25:LEU:HD11	0.64	1.68	18	8
1:A:39:LEU:HD21	1:A:47:LYS:HD2	0.61	1.71	1	4
1:A:36:GLN:O	1:A:52:TRP:CD1	0.59	2.55	3	14
1:A:18:TRP:CE2	1:A:19:THR:HG22	0.59	2.33	18	4
1:A:20:ASP:CB	1:A:22:LEU:HD23	0.56	2.30	4	1
1:A:14:VAL:O	1:A:25:LEU:HD12	0.55	2.01	19	3
1:A:31:VAL:HG13	1:A:38:CYS:SG	0.53	2.44	9	8
1:A:39:LEU:N	1:A:49:TRP:CZ3	0.53	2.77	13	9
1:A:15:LEU:HG	1:A:58:ALA:HB2	0.51	1.82	17	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:20:ASP:HB3	1:A:22:LEU:HD23	0.50	1.84	2	1
1:A:15:LEU:HD22	1:A:23:TYR:C	0.50	2.27	1	6
1:A:19:THR:HG23	1:A:20:ASP:OD1	0.50	2.07	4	1
1:A:12:GLN:HB3	1:A:28:ILE:HD12	0.49	1.84	20	2
1:A:20:ASP:OD1	1:A:22:LEU:CD2	0.49	2.60	12	1
1:A:35:LYS:O	1:A:37:SER:N	0.48	2.46	9	3
1:A:11:GLY:N	1:A:28:ILE:O	0.48	2.47	17	6
1:A:13:TYR:CE1	1:A:27:LYS:HG3	0.48	2.44	19	2
1:A:15:LEU:HD23	1:A:24:TYR:C	0.47	2.28	14	5
1:A:32:SER:HB2	1:A:49:TRP:CZ3	0.47	2.44	12	5
1:A:46:SER:OG	1:A:48:TYR:CZ	0.47	2.67	9	1
1:A:39:LEU:HD11	1:A:47:LYS:HB3	0.47	1.86	15	1
1:A:18:TRP:NE1	1:A:19:THR:HG22	0.47	2.25	12	3
1:A:52:TRP:CD1	1:A:52:TRP:N	0.46	2.83	1	7
1:A:51:LEU:O	1:A:54:ASP:N	0.45	2.50	2	6
1:A:39:LEU:HB2	1:A:49:TRP:CH2	0.45	2.47	13	4
1:A:13:TYR:CD1	1:A:27:LYS:HG3	0.45	2.46	7	3
1:A:20:ASP:HB2	1:A:22:LEU:HD23	0.45	1.88	4	2
1:A:35:LYS:O	1:A:36:GLN:C	0.45	2.55	18	18
1:A:42:PHE:CB	1:A:44:ASP:OD1	0.45	2.64	15	1
1:A:20:ASP:O	1:A:22:LEU:CD2	0.45	2.65	4	1
1:A:22:LEU:HD22	1:A:22:LEU:N	0.44	2.28	4	1
1:A:25:LEU:C	1:A:43:GLU:OE2	0.44	2.56	9	2
1:A:39:LEU:HD13	1:A:49:TRP:CE2	0.44	2.47	20	1
1:A:45:ASN:CG	1:A:45:ASN:O	0.44	2.56	14	1
1:A:12:GLN:NE2	1:A:14:VAL:CG1	0.43	2.81	15	7
1:A:46:SER:HB2	1:A:48:TYR:CZ	0.43	2.48	12	1
1:A:22:LEU:CD2	1:A:22:LEU:N	0.43	2.81	4	1
1:A:56:GLN:OE1	1:A:57:HIS:N	0.42	2.52	3	2
1:A:18:TRP:CG	1:A:19:THR:N	0.42	2.88	3	4
1:A:19:THR:HG23	1:A:20:ASP:N	0.42	2.30	1	2
1:A:39:LEU:HD21	1:A:47:LYS:HD3	0.42	1.92	8	1
1:A:24:TYR:HB2	1:A:42:PHE:CE2	0.41	2.50	8	1
1:A:35:LYS:O	1:A:51:LEU:HD23	0.41	2.16	10	1
1:A:33:SER:O	1:A:34:SER:C	0.41	2.58	1	3
1:A:10:GLU:O	1:A:12:GLN:N	0.41	2.53	1	1
1:A:23:TYR:CE1	1:A:56:GLN:OE1	0.41	2.73	1	1
1:A:44:ASP:O	1:A:46:SER:N	0.41	2.53	2	1
1:A:15:LEU:HD22	1:A:23:TYR:O	0.41	2.15	1	1
1:A:17:ARG:HD3	1:A:23:TYR:CZ	0.41	2.51	19	1
1:A:26:GLY:C	1:A:40:VAL:HG12	0.41	2.37	5	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:10:GLU:O	1:A:11:GLY:C	0.40	2.60	10	2
1:A:12:GLN:NE2	1:A:14:VAL:HG13	0.40	2.31	12	1
1:A:12:GLN:OE1	1:A:13:TYR:O	0.40	2.40	18	1

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	51/63 (81%)	42±2 (83±3%)	7±2 (14±3%)	1±1 (3±2%)	8	44
All	All	1020/1260 (81%)	846 (83%)	147 (14%)	27 (3%)	8	44

All 5 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	28	ILE	17
1	A	36	GLN	5
1	A	57	HIS	2
1	A	8	LEU	2
1	A	45	ASN	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	47/54 (87%)	45±1 (95±2%)	2±1 (5±2%)	30	79
All	All	940/1080 (87%)	896 (95%)	44 (5%)	30	79

All 9 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the

frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	12	GLN	20
1	A	29	LYS	6
1	A	35	LYS	5
1	A	53	LYS	5
1	A	56	GLN	3
1	A	44	ASP	2
1	A	20	ASP	1
1	A	34	SER	1
1	A	54	ASP	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation (i)

No chemical shift data were provided