wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 4, 2023 – 09:48 PM EDT

PDB ID	:	2LQP
BMRB ID	:	18323
Title	:	NMR solution structure of the Ca2+-Calmodulin C-terminal domain in a complex with a peptide (NSCaTE) from the L-type Voltage-Gated Calcium Channel alpha1C subunit
Authors	:	Liu, Z.; Vogel, H.J.
Deposited on	:	2012-03-10

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at
http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.33

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 62%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	71	46% 39% • 10%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 19 models. Model 9 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	**Residue range (total)**	**Backbone RMSD (Å)**	**Medoid model**
1	A:82-A:145 (64)	0.48	9

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 4, 5, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18
2	3, 7, 8
3	1, 19
Single-model clusters	6; 11

3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1095 atoms, of which 524 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Calmodulin.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	71	1093	345	524	93	127	4	0

- Molecule 2 is CALCIUM ION (three-letter code: CA) (formula: Ca).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms	
			Total	Ca
2	A	2	2	2

4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Calmodulin

Chain A: 46% 39% • 10%

D78 T79 D80 S81 E82 E83 R90 V91 F92 D93 K94 D95 G96 N97 G98 Y99 V108 M109 L116 E120 M124 I125 D129 I130 D131 G132 D133 G134 Q135 V136 N137 Y138 E139 E140 F141 V142 Q143 M144 M145 T146 A147 K148

4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 9. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

- Molecule 1: Calmodulin

Chain A: 42% 39% 8% 10%

D78 T79 D80 S81 E82 E83 R86 R90 V91 F92 D93 K94 D95 G96 N97 G98 Y99 I100 S101 E104 L105 V108 M109 E114 E120 V121 D122 R126 E127 A128 D129 I130 D131 G132 D133 G134 Q135 V136 N137 Y138 E139 E140 F141 V142 Q143 M144 M145 T146 A147 K148

5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *torsion angle dynamics, simulated annealing.*

Of the 200 calculated structures, 19 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy.*

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	
CYANA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	579
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	579
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	62%

6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: CA

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	518	477	476	27±5
All	All	9880	9063	9044	507

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 27.

5 of 157 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:130:ILE:O	1:A:132:GLY:N	0.75	2.20	12	2
1:A:116:LEU:HD22	1:A:116:LEU:C	0.71	2.06	14	2
1:A:139:GLU:O	1:A:141:PHE:N	0.70	2.24	16	19
1:A:137:ASN:HD21	1:A:140:GLU:H	0.68	1.29	4	2
1:A:100:ILE:N	1:A:100:ILE:HD12	0.68	2.04	19	1

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	64/71 (90%)	50±1 (78±2%)	9±2 (14±2%)	5±1 (8±2%)	2 13
All	All	1216/1349 (90%)	944 (78%)	169 (14%)	103 (8%)	2 13

5 of 12 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	137	ASN	19
1	A	140	GLU	19
1	A	93	ASP	18
1	A	142	VAL	14
1	A	96	GLY	8

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	55/61 (90%)	53±1 (96±2%)	2±1 (4±2%)	36 84
All	All	1045/1159 (90%)	1005 (96%)	40 (4%)	36 84

5 of 15 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	97	ASN	6
1	A	136	VAL	6
1	A	116	LEU	6
1	A	92	PHE	3
1	A	107	HIS	3

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 2 ligands modelled in this entry, 2 are monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 62% for the well-defined parts and 62% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	579
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	579
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction ± precision, *ppm*	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	71	-0.44 ± 0.14	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	66	0.34 ± 0.13	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	68	-0.53 ± 0.08	Should be applied
^{15}N	68	0.03 ± 0.41	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 62%, i.e. 532 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 862. 0 out of 8 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	304/325 (94%)	116/133 (87%)	126/128 (98%)	62/64 (97%)
Sidechain	228/481 (47%)	115/306 (38%)	113/156 (72%)	0/19 (0%)

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Aromatic	0/56 (0%)	0/27 (0%)	0/27 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	532/862 (62%)	231/466 (50%)	239/311 (77%)	62/85 (73%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, *ppm*	Expected range, *ppm*	Z-score
1	A	82	GLU	CB	46.75	21.56 – 38.37	10.0

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	894
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	243
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	257
Medium range (|i-j|>1 and |i-j|<5)	192
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	201
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	1
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	128
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	14.4
Number of long range restraints per residue[1]	2.8

[1]Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	21.5	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	16.1	0.49
>0.5 (Large)	9.0	2.11

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	18.3	10.0
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	9.9	20.0
>20.0 (Large)	1.4	27.4

9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restraints type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	243	27.2	15	6.2	1.7	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	208	23.3	15	7.2	1.7	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	35	3.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	257	28.7	24	9.3	2.7	3	1.2	0.3
Backbone-Backbone	55	6.2	1	1.8	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	168	18.8	15	8.9	1.7	1	0.6	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	34	3.8	8	23.5	0.9	2	5.9	0.2
Medium range (|i-j|>1 & |i-j|<5)	192	21.5	37	19.3	4.1	2	1.0	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	40	4.5	9	22.5	1.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	104	11.6	17	16.3	1.9	1	1.0	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	48	5.4	11	22.9	1.2	1	2.1	0.1
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	201	22.5	73	36.3	8.2	4	2.0	0.4
Backbone-Backbone	3	0.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	92	10.3	37	40.2	4.1	3	3.3	0.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	106	11.9	36	34.0	4.0	1	0.9	0.1
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	1	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	894	100.0	149	16.7	16.7	9	1.0	1.0
Backbone-Backbone	99	11.1	10	10.1	1.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	572	64.0	84	14.7	9.4	5	0.9	0.6
Sidechain-Sidechain	223	24.9	55	24.7	6.2	4	1.8	0.4

[1] percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
1	4	8	11	20	0	43	0.38	1.85	0.45	0.21
2	2	6	9	20	0	37	0.44	1.56	0.45	0.25
3	5	7	10	21	0	43	0.43	1.81	0.45	0.24
4	4	6	12	19	0	41	0.4	1.67	0.44	0.23
5	5	6	11	18	0	40	0.39	1.58	0.42	0.21
6	5	8	11	26	0	50	0.42	1.68	0.44	0.22
7	3	6	14	28	0	51	0.33	1.61	0.36	0.2
8	3	5	14	24	0	46	0.4	1.62	0.41	0.24
9	3	7	13	24	0	47	0.37	1.58	0.39	0.22
10	5	9	15	19	0	48	0.36	1.65	0.42	0.2
11	5	12	13	25	0	55	0.39	2.11	0.46	0.2

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
12	3	6	12	22	0	43	0.42	1.56	0.44	0.21
13	6	8	10	20	0	44	0.36	1.9	0.42	0.21
14	5	7	14	24	0	50	0.37	1.62	0.41	0.22
15	5	7	14	27	0	53	0.38	1.78	0.43	0.21
16	8	10	13	23	0	54	0.38	1.63	0.41	0.21
17	4	8	8	20	0	40	0.41	1.74	0.45	0.22
18	3	9	13	22	0	47	0.38	1.67	0.4	0.22
19	4	9	18	22	0	53	0.36	1.92	0.4	0.23

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6]Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 744(IR:228, SQ:233, MR:155, LR:128, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total	Count[6]	%
4	9	10	23	0	46	1	5.3
1	2	6	10	0	19	2	10.5
1	3	6	3	0	13	3	15.8
1	2	1	6	0	10	4	21.1
2	0	1	3	0	6	5	26.3
0	2	2	6	0	10	6	31.6
2	0	0	1	0	3	7	36.8
0	0	0	1	0	1	8	42.1
0	0	0	2	0	2	9	47.4
0	0	0	4	0	4	10	52.6
3	0	0	1	0	4	11	57.9
1	0	2	1	0	4	12	63.2
0	0	1	0	0	1	13	68.4
0	1	2	3	0	6	14	73.7
0	1	0	1	0	2	15	78.9
0	1	0	1	0	2	16	84.2
0	0	1	2	0	3	17	89.5
0	0	3	1	0	4	18	94.7
0	3	2	4	0	9	19	100.0

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6] Number of models with violations

9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)



9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB1	1:A:142:VAL:HA	19	1.64	0.21	1.61
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB2	1:A:142:VAL:HA	19	1.64	0.21	1.61
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB3	1:A:142:VAL:HA	19	1.64	0.21	1.61
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD1	1:A:90:ARG:HD2	19	1.57	0.13	1.59
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD1	1:A:90:ARG:HD3	19	1.57	0.13	1.59
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD2	1:A:90:ARG:HD2	19	1.57	0.13	1.59
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD2	1:A:90:ARG:HD3	19	1.57	0.13	1.59
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG11	19	1.54	0.12	1.54
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG12	19	1.54	0.12	1.54
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG13	19	1.54	0.12	1.54
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG11	19	1.54	0.12	1.54
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG12	19	1.54	0.12	1.54
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG13	19	1.54	0.12	1.54
(1,252)	1:A:85:ILE:HG21	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	19	1.27	0.09	1.24
(1,252)	1:A:85:ILE:HG21	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	19	1.27	0.09	1.24
(1,252)	1:A:85:ILE:HG22	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	19	1.27	0.09	1.24

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,252)	1:A:85:ILE:HG22	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	19	1.27	0.09	1.24
(1,252)	1:A:85:ILE:HG23	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	19	1.27	0.09	1.24
(1,252)	1:A:85:ILE:HG23	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	19	1.27	0.09	1.24
(1,27)	1:A:89:PHE:H	1:A:145:MET:HE1	19	0.71	0.18	0.73
(1,27)	1:A:89:PHE:H	1:A:145:MET:HE2	19	0.71	0.18	0.73
(1,27)	1:A:89:PHE:H	1:A:145:MET:HE3	19	0.71	0.18	0.73
(1,515)	1:A:105:LEU:HD11	1:A:124:MET:H	19	0.71	0.09	0.7
(1,515)	1:A:105:LEU:HD12	1:A:124:MET:H	19	0.71	0.09	0.7
(1,515)	1:A:105:LEU:HD13	1:A:124:MET:H	19	0.71	0.09	0.7
(1,306)	1:A:88:ALA:H	1:A:89:PHE:HB2	19	0.25	0.04	0.24
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG11	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG12	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG13	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG21	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG22	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG23	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG11	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG12	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG13	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG21	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG22	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,873)	1:A:141:PHE:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG23	19	0.24	0.07	0.22
(1,713)	1:A:102:ALA:HA	1:A:104:GLU:HG2	19	0.21	0.05	0.21
(1,713)	1:A:102:ALA:HA	1:A:104:GLU:HG3	19	0.21	0.05	0.21
(1,127)	1:A:102:ALA:HB1	1:A:105:LEU:HG	18	0.34	0.14	0.29

[1]Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB1	1:A:142:VAL:HA	11	2.11
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB2	1:A:142:VAL:HA	11	2.11
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB3	1:A:142:VAL:HA	11	2.11
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB1	1:A:142:VAL:HA	19	1.92
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB2	1:A:142:VAL:HA	19	1.92
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB3	1:A:142:VAL:HA	19	1.92
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB1	1:A:142:VAL:HA	13	1.9
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB2	1:A:142:VAL:HA	13	1.9
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB3	1:A:142:VAL:HA	13	1.9
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD1	1:A:90:ARG:HD2	11	1.88
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD1	1:A:90:ARG:HD3	11	1.88
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD2	1:A:90:ARG:HD2	11	1.88
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD2	1:A:90:ARG:HD3	11	1.88
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB1	1:A:142:VAL:HA	1	1.85
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB2	1:A:142:VAL:HA	1	1.85
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB3	1:A:142:VAL:HA	1	1.85
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB1	1:A:142:VAL:HA	3	1.81

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB2	1:A:142:VAL:HA	3	1.81
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB3	1:A:142:VAL:HA	3	1.81
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB1	1:A:142:VAL:HA	15	1.78
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB2	1:A:142:VAL:HA	15	1.78
(1,267)	1:A:88:ALA:HB3	1:A:142:VAL:HA	15	1.78
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG11	19	1.75
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG12	19	1.75
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD1	1:A:142:VAL:HG13	19	1.75
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG11	19	1.75
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG12	19	1.75
(1,245)	1:A:138:TYR:HD2	1:A:142:VAL:HG13	19	1.75
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD1	1:A:90:ARG:HD2	13	1.74
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD1	1:A:90:ARG:HD3	13	1.74
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD2	1:A:90:ARG:HD2	13	1.74
(1,655)	1:A:89:PHE:HD2	1:A:90:ARG:HD3	13	1.74
(1,137)	1:A:109:MET:HG2	1:A:116:LEU:HG	17	1.74

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
PHI	64	50.0	33	51.6	25.8	5	7.8	3.9
PSI	64	50.0	28	43.8	21.9	7	10.9	5.5
Total	128	100.0	61	47.7	47.7	12	9.4	9.4

[1] percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
	PHI	PSI	Total				
1	14	11	25	9.82	20.2	5.09	9.9
2	12	15	27	7.77	23.6	5.31	7.6
3	16	12	28	8.76	27.4	6.63	7.5
4	15	15	30	9.16	20.2	5.31	8.2
5	16	15	31	8.52	27.0	6.75	8.1
6	16	13	29	8.41	18.9	4.96	7.1
7	12	12	24	9.98	26.1	6.2	8.9
8	14	12	26	10.03	25.7	6.47	10.5
9	19	15	34	8.51	20.5	5.45	9.2
10	19	16	35	8.5	19.7	5.46	8.7
11	15	12	27	8.58	20.8	5.16	7.8
12	13	14	27	7.97	23.1	5.82	6.1
13	18	13	31	7.73	20.7	4.69	7.2
14	24	17	41	7.54	19.4	5.36	5.0
15	17	14	31	9.01	24.6	5.85	8.0
16	18	17	35	8.76	21.2	6.28	8.5
17	10	16	26	9.69	23.9	5.59	9.3
18	14	14	28	9.68	25.0	6.5	7.85
19	15	13	28	9.6	25.5	6.02	9.4

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	PSI	Total	Count[1]	%
6	5	11	1	5.3
0	3	3	2	10.5
3	1	4	3	15.8
1	2	3	4	21.1
5	1	6	5	26.3
2	1	3	6	31.6
0	0	0	7	36.8
2	1	3	8	42.1
1	0	1	9	47.4
1	2	3	10	52.6
0	1	1	11	57.9

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	PSI	Total	Count[1]	%
0	0	0	12	63.2
0	1	1	13	68.4
2	1	3	14	73.7
0	0	0	15	78.9
3	1	4	16	84.2
1	0	1	17	89.5
1	1	2	18	94.7
5	7	12	19	100.0

[1] Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)



10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models

in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Models[1]	Mean	SD[2]	Median
(1,74)	1:A:117:THR:N	1:A:117:THR:CA	1:A:117:THR:C	1:A:118:ASP:N	19	21.93	3.16	23.1
(1,115)	1:A:139:GLU:C	1:A:140:GLU:N	1:A:140:GLU:CA	1:A:140:GLU:C	19	19.82	0.88	19.7
(1,117)	1:A:140:GLU:C	1:A:141:PHE:N	1:A:141:PHE:CA	1:A:141:PHE:C	19	13.11	2.61	14.0
(1,110)	1:A:137:ASN:N	1:A:137:ASN:CA	1:A:137:ASN:C	1:A:138:TYR:N	19	12.33	1.91	12.8
(1,88)	1:A:124:MET:N	1:A:124:MET:CA	1:A:124:MET:C	1:A:125:ILE:N	19	12.13	1.91	12.4
(1,102)	1:A:133:ASP:N	1:A:133:ASP:CA	1:A:133:ASP:C	1:A:134:GLY:N	19	12.11	2.5	13.0
(1,23)	1:A:91:VAL:C	1:A:92:PHE:N	1:A:92:PHE:CA	1:A:92:PHE:C	19	11.71	3.89	12.1
(1,114)	1:A:139:GLU:N	1:A:139:GLU:CA	1:A:139:GLU:C	1:A:140:GLU:N	19	9.46	1.79	9.6
(1,122)	1:A:143:GLN:N	1:A:143:GLN:CA	1:A:143:GLN:C	1:A:144:MET:N	19	8.92	1.26	8.7
(1,15)	1:A:87:GLU:C	1:A:88:ALA:N	1:A:88:ALA:CA	1:A:88:ALA:C	19	8.51	1.77	8.6

[1] Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violationsfor the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,71)	1:A:115:LYS:C	1:A:116:LEU:N	1:A:116:LEU:CA	1:A:116:LEU:C	3	27.4
(1,74)	1:A:117:THR:N	1:A:117:THR:CA	1:A:117:THR:C	1:A:118:ASP:N	5	27.0
(1,71)	1:A:115:LYS:C	1:A:116:LEU:N	1:A:116:LEU:CA	1:A:116:LEU:C	5	26.2
(1,71)	1:A:115:LYS:C	1:A:116:LEU:N	1:A:116:LEU:CA	1:A:116:LEU:C	7	26.1
(1,74)	1:A:117:THR:N	1:A:117:THR:CA	1:A:117:THR:C	1:A:118:ASP:N	8	25.7
(1,74)	1:A:117:THR:N	1:A:117:THR:CA	1:A:117:THR:C	1:A:118:ASP:N	19	25.5
(1,74)	1:A:117:THR:N	1:A:117:THR:CA	1:A:117:THR:C	1:A:118:ASP:N	18	25.0
(1,74)	1:A:117:THR:N	1:A:117:THR:CA	1:A:117:THR:C	1:A:118:ASP:N	15	24.6
(1,74)	1:A:117:THR:N	1:A:117:THR:CA	1:A:117:THR:C	1:A:118:ASP:N	3	24.5
(1,71)	1:A:115:LYS:C	1:A:116:LEU:N	1:A:116:LEU:CA	1:A:116:LEU:C	18	24.5