Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Jun 11, 2024 – 06:13 PM EDT

PDB ID	:	2JRS
BMRB ID	:	15343
Title	:	Solution NMR Structure of CAPER RRM2 Domain. Northeast Structural Genomics Target HR4730A
Authors	:	Rossi, P.; Zhao, L.; Nwosu, C.; Cunningham, K.; Owens, L.; Xiao, R.; Liu, J.; Baran, M.C.; Swapna, G.; Acton, T.B.; Rost, B.; Montelione, G.T.; Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium (NESG)
Deposited on	:	2007-06-28

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at
http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.36.2

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 93%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	108	62% • 36%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 6 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	**Residue range (total)**	**Backbone RMSD (Å)**	**Medoid model**
1	A:26-A:59, A:68-A:102 (69)	0.57	6

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters and 4 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 16, 18, 19
2	1, 12, 15
3	7, 20
4	5, 11
Single-model clusters	8; 13; 14; 17

3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1662 atoms, of which 820 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called RNA-binding protein 39.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	108	1662	522	820	156	155	9	0

There are 11 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	MET	-	expression tag	UNP Q14498
A	2	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q14498
A	3	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q14498
A	4	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q14498
A	5	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q14498
A	6	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q14498
A	7	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q14498
A	8	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q14498
A	9	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q14498
A	10	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q14498
A	11	MET	-	expression tag	UNP Q14498

4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A:



4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A:



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A:



4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A: 58% 6% 36%



4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A: 61% • 36%



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A: 56% 7% • 36%

4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A: 54% 9% • 36%

4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A: 53% 8% • • 36%



4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A:



4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A:



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A:



4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A:



4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A: 60% • 36%

M1 G2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 S9 H10 M11 A12 A13 A14 M15 A16 N17 N18 L19 Q20 K21 G22 S23 A24 G25 S33 L34 I53 M60 D61 S62 E63 T64 G65 R66 S67 K68 G69 V103 T104 E105 R106 T107 D108

4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A: 56% 6% • 36%

M1 G2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 S9 H10 M11 A12 A13 A14 M15 A16 N17 N18 L19 Q20 K21 G22 S23 A24 G25 S33 R52 Q57 M60 D61 S62 E63 T64 G65 R66 S67 K68 D77 C80 Q87 H102 V103 T104 E105 R106 T107 D108

4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A: 60% • • 36%

M1 G2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 S9 H10 M11 A12 A13 A14 M15 A16 N17 N18 L19 Q20 K21 G22 S23 A24 G25 S33 T39 M42 M60 D61 S62 E63 T64 G65 R66 S67 Q87 V103 T104 E105 R106 T107 D108

4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A: 55% 9% 36%

M1 G2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 S9 H10 M11 A12 A13 A14 M15 A16 N17 N18 L19 Q20 K21 G22 S23 A24 G25 L29 S33 L34 H35 F36 N37 D41 I53 M59 M60 D61 S62 E63 T64 G65 R66 S67 Y70 L85 H102 V103 T104 E105 R106 T107 D108

4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A: 51% 12% • 36%

M1 G2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 S9 H10 M11 A12 A13 A14 M15 A16 N17 N18 L19 Q20 K21 G22 S23 A24 G25 P26 M27 R28 S33 L34 H35 F36 N37 I38 E48 P49 F50 G51 R52 M60 D61 S62 E63 T64 G65 R66 S67 K68 G69 C80 L93 N98 V103

T104 E105 R106 T107 D108

4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A:



4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A:



4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A:



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: RNA-binding protein 39

Chain A:



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
AutoStructure	structure solution	2.1.1
CNSSOLVE	refinement	1.1
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	2.11.2
DYANA	structure solution	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1214
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1214
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	93%

6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	548	549	547	3±3
All	All	10960	10980	10940	67

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 3.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:51:GLY:HA3	1:A:80:CYS:SG	0.66	2.30	16	2
1:A:48:GLU:HG3	1:A:52:ARG:HA	0.63	1.70	8	2
1:A:26:PRO:HA	1:A:75:PHE:O	0.59	1.98	9	3
1:A:59:MET:SD	1:A:70:TYR:CE2	0.56	2.98	6	1
1:A:27:MET:SD	1:A:28:ARG:N	0.55	2.80	16	1
1:A:48:GLU:N	1:A:49:PRO:HD2	0.55	2.17	3	1
1:A:33:SER:HB2	1:A:98:MET:HA	0.54	1.79	7	1
1:A:77:ASP:HB3	1:A:80:CYS:SG	0.54	2.43	5	5
1:A:38:ILE:HA	1:A:42:MET:SD	0.54	2.43	6	1
1:A:59:MET:HG3	1:A:68:LYS:HD2	0.53	1.80	2	1
1:A:33:SER:OG	1:A:98:MET:HA	0.53	2.03	6	1
1:A:48:GLU:HG3	1:A:52:ARG:O	0.53	2.04	5	1
1:A:51:GLY:N	1:A:80:CYS:SG	0.53	2.82	7	2
1:A:39:THR:OG1	1:A:42:MET:SD	0.51	2.68	14	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:34:LEU:HA	1:A:38:ILE:HD12	0.51	1.83	7	1
1:A:87:GLN:N	1:A:87:GLN:HE21	0.51	2.04	14	3
1:A:99:LYS:NZ	1:A:99:LYS:HB3	0.51	2.21	5	1
1:A:38:ILE:HD12	1:A:42:MET:SD	0.48	2.49	20	1
1:A:38:ILE:HD12	1:A:38:ILE:N	0.47	2.24	1	3
1:A:93:LEU:HD22	1:A:98:MET:SD	0.46	2.50	18	2
1:A:59:MET:O	1:A:68:LYS:HB2	0.46	2.10	18	1
1:A:38:ILE:HD12	1:A:38:ILE:H	0.45	1.72	6	1
1:A:59:MET:SD	1:A:72:PHE:HE2	0.45	2.35	18	1
1:A:35:HIS:O	1:A:35:HIS:CG	0.44	2.71	20	2
1:A:59:MET:SD	1:A:70:TYR:HE2	0.44	2.35	6	1
1:A:82:LYS:NZ	1:A:82:LYS:HB2	0.44	2.28	6	1
1:A:53:ILE:HD12	1:A:53:ILE:N	0.43	2.28	10	4
1:A:38:ILE:HG23	1:A:42:MET:SD	0.43	2.54	9	2
1:A:34:LEU:O	1:A:69:GLY:HA2	0.43	2.13	16	2
1:A:35:HIS:ND1	1:A:35:HIS:O	0.43	2.52	17	2
1:A:29:LEU:HD22	1:A:85:LEU:HD12	0.42	1.91	15	1
1:A:30:TYR:HB3	1:A:101:GLY:O	0.42	2.13	7	1
1:A:59:MET:HG3	1:A:70:TYR:CE2	0.42	2.50	15	1
1:A:59:MET:SD	1:A:70:TYR:HE1	0.42	2.38	18	1
1:A:44:ARG:HH21	1:A:52:ARG:NH1	0.42	2.11	8	1
1:A:35:HIS:O	1:A:35:HIS:CD2	0.41	2.73	1	1
1:A:48:GLU:N	1:A:49:PRO:CD	0.41	2.83	16	2
1:A:32:GLY:HA2	1:A:70:TYR:HB3	0.41	1.92	7	1
1:A:82:LYS:HB2	1:A:82:LYS:NZ	0.41	2.31	18	1
1:A:53:ILE:N	1:A:53:ILE:HD12	0.41	2.31	18	1
1:A:58:LEU:HD23	1:A:71:GLY:HA3	0.41	1.93	6	1
1:A:50:PHE:HB3	1:A:80:CYS:SG	0.40	2.56	9	1
1:A:85:LEU:C	1:A:85:LEU:HD23	0.40	2.36	10	1
1:A:82:LYS:HB2	1:A:82:LYS:HZ2	0.40	1.76	18	1
1:A:35:HIS:O	1:A:35:HIS:ND1	0.40	2.55	6	1

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	69/108 (64%)	60±2 (87±3%)	7±2 (10±3%)	2±1 (2±1%)	10 49
All	All	1380/2160 (64%)	1207 (87%)	142 (10%)	31 (2%)	10 49

All 7 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	33	SER	18
1	A	52	ARG	4
1	A	53	ILE	2
1	A	37	ASN	2
1	A	102	HIS	2
1	A	36	PHE	2
1	A	26	PRO	1

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	58/88 (66%)	57±1 (98±2%)	1±1 (2±2%)	54 92
All	All	1160/1760 (66%)	1135 (98%)	25 (2%)	54 92

All 13 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	52	ARG	5
1	A	87	GLN	4
1	A	37	ASN	3
1	A	35	HIS	2
1	A	41	ASP	2
1	A	79	GLU	2
1	A	77	ASP	1
1	A	70	TYR	1
1	A	42	MET	1
1	A	57	GLN	1
1	A	27	MET	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	48	GLU	1
1	A	58	LEU	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 93% for the well-defined parts and 84% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1214
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1214
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction ± precision, *ppm*	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	100	-0.20 ± 0.10	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	89	-0.09 ± 0.08	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	95	-0.06 ± 0.17	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
^{15}N	95	-0.01 ± 0.45	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 93%, i.e. 895 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 961. 0 out of 9 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	345/347 (99%)	143/143 (100%)	136/138 (99%)	66/66 (100%)
Sidechain	468/520 (90%)	318/339 (94%)	144/161 (89%)	6/20 (30%)

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Aromatic	82/94 (87%)	41/46 (89%)	41/44 (93%)	0/4 (0%)
Overall	895/961 (93%)	502/528 (95%)	321/343 (94%)	72/90 (80%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 84%, i.e. 1213 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1445. 0 out of 11 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	496/546 (91%)	206/225 (92%)	195/216 (90%)	95/105 (90%)
Sidechain	635/749 (85%)	432/488 (89%)	194/231 (84%)	9/30 (30%)
Aromatic	82/150 (55%)	41/74 (55%)	41/58 (71%)	0/18 (0%)
Overall	1213/1445 (84%)	679/787 (86%)	430/505 (85%)	104/153 (68%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, *ppm*	Expected range, *ppm*	Z-score
1	A	39	THR	HG1	5.89	0.08 – 2.19	22.5

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:


RCI
0.0
0.1
0.2
0.3
0.4
9:SER
13:ALA
17:ASN
21:LYS
25:GLY
29:LEU
33:SER
37:ASN
41:ASP
45:GLY
49:PRO
53:ILE
57:GLN
61:ASP
65:GLY
69:GLY
73:ILE
77:ASP
81:ALA
85:LEU
89:ASN
93:LEU
97:PRO
101:GLY
105:GLU
Auth_seq_ID